(e) ISSN Online: 2321-9599

(p) ISSN Print: 2348-6805

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

IMPACT OF TOBACCO, ALCOHOL, AND SMOKING PROPENSITIES IN ORAL PRECANCER WITH HISTOLOGICAL DEMONSTRATED EPITHELIAL DYSPLASIA

Amit Kumar Joseph

Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, MN DAV Dental College & Hospital, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT:

Background: Oral epithelial dysplasia (OED) is a histopathological diagnosis that is associated with an increased risk of oral cancer. The main aim of this study is to identify the impact of tobacco, alcohol, and smoking propensities in oral precancer with histological demonstrated epithelial dysplasia. **Materials and methods:** The examination test included biopsy demonstrated instances of 42 oral submucous fibrosis (OSMF) and 48 instances of clinical leukoplakia. Histopathological analysis was produced using formalin settled paraffin embedded tissues according to WHO criteria. The applicable clinical and statistic points of interest were recorded subsequent to talking the patients. **Result:** Occurrence of epithelial dysplasia was fundamentally connected with tobacco in OSMF and both tobacco and smoking propensities in leukoplakia. In OSMF tobacco was related with 16 times furthermore, in leukoplakia tobacco was related with 5-overlay and smoking was related with 11 overlap expanded danger of epithelial dysplasia. **Conclusion:** In this examination, current tobacco smoking was a significant hazard factor for epithelial dysplasia in OSMF patients while previous smokers had an extensively decreased hazard contrasted with current smokers

Keywords: Alcohol, Tobacco, Oral, Epithelial dysplasia, Oral potentially malignant disorders (OPMDs).

Corresponding Author: Dr. Amit Kumar Joseph, Department of Oral Pathology and Microbiology, MN DAV Dental College & Hospital, Solan, Himachal Pradesh, India

This article may be cited as: Joseph AK. Impact of tobacco, alcohol, and smoking propensities in oral precancer with histological demonstrated epithelial dysplasia. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res 2017;5(6):41- 44.

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code	Website: www.jamdsr.com
	DOI: 10.21276/jamdsr.2017.5.6.11

INTRODUCTION:

Around the world, it is assessed that there were roughly 275000 new instances of and 128000 passings credited to cancer of the oral cavity amid 2002.[1] Most oral malignancies are squamous cell carcinomas, and by far most of oral squamous cell carcinomas are gone before by forerunner injuries that can present as either leukoplakia, erythroplakia, or erythroleukoplakia.[2,3] Infinitesimally, these lesions may display oral epithelial dysplasia (OED), a histopathologic conclusion portrayed by cell changes and maturational unsettling influences demonstrative of creating harm.[4] A finding of OED is essential on the grounds that announced threatening change rates among people determined to have OED are as high as 36% [5]. As a result, the nearness or nonappearance of OED in a biopsy example is frequently a vital marker in deciding how nearly a lesion ought to be taken after and whether treatment ought to be started.

Smoking and drinking are autonomously and synergistically connected with an expanded hazard of oral cancer, and the dangers tend to increment with an expanded recurrence of presentation.[6-8] In a past report, we likewise found that OED chance was emphatically connected with smoking and drinking in an independent, dose-dependent fashion, with confirmation of a synergistic joint impact.[9] Regardless of the closeness of these discoveries, be that as it may, it doesn't really take after that the dangers related with these preventable exposures are consistent over the multistage pathway to oral disease. While smoking tobacco is related with an expanded danger of oral leukoplakia, line up investigations of people with leukoplakia have frequently announced that smokers have a lower change rate to oral malignancy than do non-smokers.[10,11] Further, while liquor utilization is unmistakably a hazard factor for oral and pharyngeal malignancy, the part of liquor is more ambiguous in wording oral leukoplakia, with a few examinations uncovering frail to direct affiliations however others finding no relationship.[12] In addition, in a current report, smoking, yet not drinking, was observed to be a critical hazard factor in the advancement of oral leukoplakia, while drinking was more imperative than smoking in the harmful change of oral leukoplakia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Tissue biopsies were taken from instances of 42 OSMF and 48 clinical leukoplakia from the Departments of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery in the wake of getting the Institutional Ethical endorsement and educated composed assent from the patients in a hospital in North India. The pertinent clinical and statistic points of interest were recorded in the wake of talking the patients**.**

Two prepared questioners, who were blinded to both examination theories and ailment status, utilized an institutionalized, organized poll that included inquiries on smoking, drinking, and therapeutic/ dental history and also data on socioeconomics also, dietary propensities. Smoking tobacco utilize was characterized regarding cigarettes, cigars, and pipes. An "ever smoker" was characterized as a man who self-announced smoking no less than 100 cigarettes over the span of his/her lifetime or having smoked cigar or pipes for six months or more. A "current smoker" was characterized as a man who self-announced smoking inside the date-book year before the time of analysis while an "ex-smoker" was characterized as an ever smoker who had stopped smoking for more than one date-book year preceding the finding year. For example, a subject analyzed in 2007 who quit smoking in 2006, would be delegated a present smoker; be that as it may, the same individual would be delegated an ex-smoker if he quit smoking in 2005. Cigarette counterparts were ascertained in view of the change factor of 1 cigarette = 1/2 pipe = 1/4 cigar and revealed as far as normal cigarette reciprocals every day . Pack-year reciprocals of smoking was figured in light of the normal number of pack-reciprocals smoked every day times the numbers of smoking years.

Alcoholic beverage utilization was gotten in wording of beer, wine, and hard alcohol. An "ever consumer" was characterized as a man who self-revealed devouring in any event 12 beverages of any mixed refreshment sort over his/her lifetime. A "present consumer" was characterized as a man who detailed drinking any sort of mixed refreshment inside the timetable year preceding the analysis year while an "ex-consumer" was characterized as an ever consumer who had ceased all drinking for more than one date-book year preceding the analysis year. The normal number of beverages expended every week was computed in view of the reported number of 12-ounce lagers, 4-ounce glasses of wine, and 1.5-ounce shots of hard alcohol expended every week. Every single oral tissue were settled in 10% neutral formalin and, prepared for histopathological examination according to standard strategy. 5 mm thick areas were cut and recolored with haematoxylin and eosin (H&E). Segments were explored by two free pathologists and histological finding was made according to WHO criteria.

RESULT:

Out of total 90 patients, 20 had never used tobacco, 41 consumes alcohol and 56 were fond of smoking. Male: Female is 62: 28 and most of them lied in fifth decade. There were no significant differences in age or ethinicity of patients. There were no significant differences in distribution of oral sites in users and non users. In both froups tongue, floor of mouth and buccal mucosa were the most commonly involved sites. Demographic details of patients is given in Table no 1. Buccal mucosa was most commonly involved site followed by tongue (Table 2)

Table 1: Demographic details of patients

Variables	OSMF(n= 42)	Leukoplakia (n=48)
Age (years)	46.6	42.9
Sex		
Male	27	35
Female	15	13
Epithelial dysplasia		
Yes	29	31
No	13	17
Alcohol		
Yes	18	23
No	24	25
Smoking		
Yes	27	29
No	15	19
Tobacco		
Yes	34	36
No	8	12

Table 2: Site of Oral epithelial dysplasia in users and non users

Site	Users	Non-Users
Labial mucosa	12	1
Tongue	18	6
Gingiva	9	0
Buccal mucosa	28	5
Soft palate	5	-
Retro molar area	6	-
Commissure	2	-

Table 3: Clinical type and histopathology of epithelial dysplasia in tobacco and alcohol users

	No of users
Clinical type	
White patch	26
Red patch	3
Ulcer	14
Lump	7
Histology	
Mild dysplasia	23
Moderate dysplasia	16
Severe dysplasia	8
Carcinoma in-situ	2

Epithelial dysplasia status was significantly associated with presence of tobacco and smoking habits in leukoplakia. No association was found with epithelial dysplasia status in leukoplakia with age and sex.

DISCUSSION:

The present investigation assessed the hazard factors that were in charge of essence of epithelial dysplasia in precancer. The examination tests included just biopsy demonstrated instances of OSMF and leukoplakia with and without epithelial dysplasia. In this examination we watched that tobacco utilization was observed to be an autonomous hazard factor for OSMF patients with epithelial dysplasia. The relative risk for having epithelial dysplasia in OSMF for tobacco was 16.

Ahmad et al found that 152 out of 157 patients utilized gutka furthermore, other areca nut items. [13] Tobacco contains up to 50 potential cancer-causing agents, for example, nitrosamines and polycyclic sweet-smelling hydrocarbons. Some of them may cause changes of the p53 tumor-silencer quality or different qualities that upset cell-cycle direction and adjustment of the insusceptible systems.[14,15] We found that tobacco was unequivocally related with both OSMF and leukoplakia. This investigation demonstrated that the danger of OSMF at every introduction level of tobacco was more grounded than that of leukoplakia. C H Lee et al additionally recommended that tobacco as betel quid biting was firmly connected with both these oral infections, the inferable part of leukoplakia being 73.2% and of OSMF 85.4%. [16] They recommended that despite the fact that betel quid biting was a noteworthy reason for both leukoplakia and OSMF, its impact may be distinctive between the two ailments.

A few investigations of premalignant lesions and OED have discovered that dangers related with drinking are reliant upon the level of liquor consumption, with the most astounding dangers watched for the most abnormal amount of liquor consumption [17]. Subsequent to changing for different potential confounders; notwithstanding, we found no proof of an expanded OMPD hazard even among those people who devoured > 20 drinks/wk. Past investigations have detailed that the danger of oral tumor and OPMDs can change by drink sort, i.e., beer, wine, and hard alcohol.[18]

Previous studies have reported evidence of a more than additive effect of both smoking and drinking on the risk of oral cancer and OED.[19] The component or systems by which mixed drinks increment the danger of OED and oral disease are not unmistakably comprehended and confused by the way that ethanol in essence does not give off an impression of being cancer-causing in trial creatures. It is known, in any case, that ethanol can be processed to acetaldehyde, and acetaldehyde is cancer-causing. The alcohol dehydrogenase 3 quality (ADH3) is included in the alcohol–acetaldehyde pathway, and a developing number of atomic epidemiologic investigations have assessed the part of ADH3 in oral growth chance, however with blended outcomes.[20]

Study limitations ought to be considered when translating our discoveries. All exposures were measured independent from anyone else report, and some level of introduction misclassification may have happened. The potential for review inclination, nonetheless, is alleviated by the way that neither the examination subjects nor the questioners knew about the investigation speculations and on the grounds that both case bunches were included people with recorded oral pathoses. It is conceivable that some examination subjects could have modified their smoking as well as drinking propensities in view of the consequences of a prior oral biopsy. Worries that growth or OED cases would be differentially affected are lessened, notwithstanding, in that people in both case gatherings could have gotten past biopsies with histologic judgments that would not block subject incorporation in the investigation (e.g., hyperkeratosis with atypical cells), however which could possibly have prompted a change in chance exposures. Additionally, signs and side effects of the infection could have driven a few subjects to change their smoking or drinking designs preceding finding. While both the OED and oral disease subjects had an intraoral injury that could have inspired an adjustment in smoking or drinking, the disease cases may have will probably have indications related with their ailment.

CONCLUSION:

We thought about smoking and drinking designs between people determined to have an oral malignant condition in respect to people determined to have a benign oral tissue condition. Our discoveries recommend that smoking is a significant hazard factor for OPMDs while previous smokers have a significantly diminished hazard as compare to current smokers. The affiliation amongst smoking and OED is in any event as solid as the relationship amongst smoking and oral malignancy, proposing that smoking may have its most prominent effect on oral carcinogenesis in stages preceding OED change to malignancy. We additionally discovered proof that drinking alcohol is all the more firmly related with oral growth than OED, especially at higher utilization levels and for beer furthermore, hard alcohol consumers. Be that as it may, the effect of liquor on oral carcinogenesis does not show up to be constrained to a late-organize impact. In all, our examination comes about propose that tobacco utilize may play its most prominent part in oral carcinogenesis before OED change to oral cancer, while a history of overwhelming drinking may all the more unequivocally anticipate those instances of OED with the most serious hazard of building up an invasive intraoral cancer.

REFERENCES:

1. Parkin DM, Bray F, Ferlay J, Pisani P. Global cancer statistics, 2002. CA Cancer J Clin 2005;55:74–108
2. Mayne, ST.; Morse, DE.; Winn, DM. Cancers of the oral cavity and pharynx. In: Schottenfeld, D.;, JF., Jr, editors. Cancer epidemiology and prevention. Oxford University Press; New York 2006. p. 674-696.
3. Melrose RJ. Premalignant oral mucosal diseases. J Calif Dent Assoc 2001;29:593–600.

4. Pindborg, JJ.; Reichart, PA.; Smith, CJ.; van der Waal, I. Histological typing of cancer and precance of the oral mucosa. Sobin, LH., editor. Springer; New York: 1997.
5. Silverman S Jr, Gorsky M, Lozada F. Oral leukoplakia and malignant transformation. A follow-up study of 257 patients. Cancer 1984;53:563–568.
6. Castellsague X, Quintana MJ, Martinez MC, et al. The role of type of tobacco and type of alcoholic beverage in oral carcinogenesis. Int J Cancer 2004;108:741–749.
7. Franceschi S, Talamini R, Barra S, et al. Smoking and drinking in relation to cancers of the oral cavity, pharynx, larynx, and esophagus in northern Italy. Cancer Res 1990;50:6502–6507
8. Blot WJ, McLaughlin JK, Winn DM, et al. Smoking and drinking in relation to oral and pharyngeal cancer. Cancer Res 1988;48:3282–3287.
9. Morse DE, Katz RV, Pendrys DG, et al. Smoking and drinking in relation to oral epithelial dysplasia. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 1996;5:769–777
10. Banoczy J, Gintner Z, Dombi C. Tobacco use and oral leukoplakia. J Dent Educ 2001;65:322–327.
11. Hashibe M, Sankaranarayanan R, Thomas G, et al. Alcohol drinking, body mass index and the riskof oral leukoplakia in an Indian population. Int J Cancer 2000;88:129–134.
12. Macigo FG, Mwaniki DL, Guthua SW. The association between oral leukoplakia and use of tobacco,alcohol and khat based on relative risks assessment in Kenya. Eur J Oral Sci 1995;103:268–273.
13. Ahmad MS, Ali SA, Ali AS, Chaubey KK. Epidemiological and etiological study of oral submucous fibrosis among gutkha chewers of Patna, Bihar, India. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent.2006
14. Gupta PC. Epidemiologic study of the association between alcohol habits and oral leukoplakia.Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 1984;12:47–50.
15. Shiu MN, Chen TH. Impact of betel quid, tobacco and alcohol on three-stage disease natural history of oral leukoplakia and cancer: implication for prevention of oral cancer. Eur J Cancer Prev 2004;13:39–45.
16. Lee C-H, Ko Y-C, Huang H-L, et al. The precancer risk of betel quid chewing, tobacco use and alcohol consumption in oral leukoplakia and oral submucous fibrosis in southern Taiwan. Br J Cancer. 2003;88:366e372.
17. Brennan JA, Boyle JO, Koch WM, et al. Association between cigarette smoking and mutation of the P53 gene in squamous e cell carcinoma of the head and neck. N Engl J Med. 1995;332: 712e717.
18. Kulasegaram R, Downer MC, Jullien JA, Zakrzewska JM, Speight PM: Casecontrol study of oral dysplasia and risk habits among patients of a dental hospital. European Journal of Cancer Part B: Oral Oncology 1995, 31(4):227-231.
19. Hashibe M, Mathew B, Kuruvilla B, Thomas G, Sankaranarayanan R, Parkin DM, Zhang Z-F: Chewing Tobacco, Alcohol, and the Risk of Erythroplakia. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention 2000,9(7):639-645
20. Hashibe M, Brennan P, Chuang S-c, Boccia S, Castellsague X, Chen C, Curado MP, Dal Maso L, Daudt AW, Fabianova E, et al: Interaction between Tobacco and Alcohol Use and the Risk of Head and Neck Cancer: Pooled Analysis in the International Head and Neck Cancer Epidemiology Consortium. Cancer Epidemiology Biomarkers & Prevention 009, 18(2):541-544.

Source of support: Nil **Conflict of interest:** None declared