(p) ISSN Print: 2348-6805

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Assessment of incidence of postoperative infectious endophthalmitis in a known population

Priyanka Saxena

Assistant Professor, Dept of Opthalomology, Major S D Singh Medical College & Hospital, Farukkhabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT:

Background: The present study was conducted for evaluating the incidence of postoperative infectious endophthalmitis in a known population. **Materials & methods:** A total of 400 patients who underwent ophthalmic surgeries were enrolled. Separate analysis of patients with complaints of decrease in vision, presenting with hypopyon, vitreous opacification and pain following surgery reporting with a duly filled-in specifically designed form were enrolled in the present study. Patients were enrolled in the present study who reported back with clinical symptoms and signs of postoperative inflammations were subjected to diagnostic microbiological investigations to identify the causative agents. The diagnostic aqueous humor and/or vitreous fluid specimen samples were collected and processed for isolation of the causative infectious agent. All the results were recorded and were analysed using SPSS software. **Results:** A total of 400 patients were enrolled. Among these patients, incidence of postoperative infectious endophthalmitis was 1 percent. Among them, two patients were of acute onset while the remaining 2 patients with of postoperative infectious endophthalmitis was 41.2 years. Out of four patients with of postoperative infectious endophthalmitis respectively. **Conclusion:** From the above results, the authors concluded that postoperative infectious endophthalmitis should always be in the differential of recurrent inflammation in a previously operated eye.

Key words: Endophthalmitis, Infection

Corresponding author: Priyanka Saxena, Assistant Professor, Dept of Opthalomology, Major S D Singh Medical College & Hospital, Farukkhabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

This article may be cited as: Saxena P. Assessment of incidence of postoperative infectious endophthalmitis in a known population. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res 2015;3(3):175-177.

INTRODUCTION

Endophthalmitis means bacterial or fungal infection inside the eye, involving the vitreous and/or aqueous humors. Most cases of endophthalmitis are exogenous, and organisms are introduced into the eye via trauma, surgery, or an infected cornea. Endogenous endophthalmitis occurs when the eye is seeded via the bloodstream. Patients usually have symptoms from their underlying systemic infection, but sometimes present only with eye symptoms.^{1,} ²Endophthalmitis does not serve as a source of bactaeremia or fungaemia. Infection remains confined to the eye. In panophthalmitis, infection spreads from the globe of the eye to the adjacent soft tissues of the orbit.³Most cases of endophthalmitis present acutely, with hours to a few days of symptoms. These cases are medical emergencies, as delay in treatment may result in permanent vision loss.⁴ Postoperative endophthalmitis is an uncommon complication of any ocular surgery.

Hence; the present study was conducted for evaluating the incidence of postoperative infectious endophthalmitis in a known population.

MATERIALS & METHODS

The present study was conducted for evaluating the incidence of postoperative infectious endophthalmitis in a known population. A total of 400 patients who

underwent ophthalmic surgeries were enrolled. Separate analysis of patients with complaints of decrease in vision, presenting with hypopyon, vitreous opacification and pain following surgery reporting with a duly filled-in specifically designed form were enrolled in the present study. Patients were enrolled in the present study who reported back with clinical symptoms and signs of postoperative inflammations subjected to diagnostic microbiological were investigations to identify the causative agents. The diagnostic aqueous humor and/or vitreous fluid specimen samples were collected and processed for isolation of the causative infectious agent. All the results were recorded and were analysed using SPSS software.

RESULTS

A total of 400 patients were enrolled. Among these patients, incidence of postoperative infectious endophthalmitis was 1 percent. Among them, two patients were of acute onset while the remaining 2 patients were of late onset. Mean age of the patients with of postoperative infectious endophthalmitis was 41.2 years. Out of four patients with of postoperative infectious endophthalmitis, chief microorganism identified was S. epidermidis, S. aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and S. epidermidis respectively.

Postoperative infectious endophthalmitis		Number	Percentage
Present	Acute onset (Before 6 weeks)	2	0.5
	Late onset (After 6 weeks)	2	0.5
Absent		396	99
Total		400	100

Table 2: Microbiological pathogen responsible for postoperative infectious endophthalmitis

Patient	Microorganism isolated
Patient 1	S. epidermidis
Patient 2	S. aureus
Patient 3	Pseudomonas aeruginosa
Patient 4	S. epidermidis

Table 3: Demographic profile of patients with postoperative infectious endophthalmitis

Variable	Number	
Mean age (years)	41.2	
Males	3	
Females	1	

DISCUSSION

Endophthalmitis is an uncommon but sightthreatening intraocular inflammation that may be due to a non infectious process or may be caused by an infectious organism. It is a term used to describe intraocular inflammation that involves the vitreous cavity and the anterior chamber of the eye and can involve other adjacent ocular tissues such as the choroid or retina, sclera or cornea. In infectious endophthalmitis, the organism might reach the eye from other infected sites in the body through hematologic seeding and in these cases it is labeled endogenous endophthalmitis.⁶⁻⁸ More commonly, the organism is exogenous and gains access to the intraocular environment. According to the Endophthalmitis Vitrectomy Study, postoperative endophthalmitis is divided generally into two types: postoperative acute and chronic. Acute endophthalmitis is defined as infections within 6 weeks of surgery; on the other hand, chronic postoperative endophthalmitis is defined as infections after 6 weeks of surgery.⁹⁻¹² Hence; the present study was conducted for evaluating the incidenceof postoperative infectious endophthalmitis in a known population.

A total of 400 patients were enrolled. Among these patients, incidence of postoperative infectious endophthalmitis was 1 percent. Among them, two patients were of acute onset while the remaining 2 patients were of late onset. Mean age of the patients with of postoperative infectious endophthalmitis was 41.2 years. Our results were similar to observations reported in previous literature. The reported incidence of postoperative endophthalmitis ranges from 0.01% to 0.367%, with incidence varying among different surgical procedures and across studies and different countries. Most of postoperative endophthalmitis studies were conducted on cases after cataract surgery, being the most commonly performed surgery

in ophthalmology. In a large meta-analysis, 3 140 650 cataract extraction cases were reviewed for the incidence of endophthalmitis after cataract surgery worldwide in the period between 1964 and 2003. The analysis showed an increase in the incidence of postsurgical endophthalmitis from 0.087% in the 1990s to 0.265% in the 2000s, and this was attributed to the change in surgical technique towards clear corneal sutureless wounds that allow exogenous organisms easy access to the intraocular space.¹³⁻¹⁶

In the present study, out of four patients with of postoperative infectious endophthalmitis, chief microorganism identified was S. epidermidis, S. aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and S. epidermidis respectively. Mould infection of the cornea (keratomycosis) may lead to endophthalmitis as the mould grows through the cornea and into the aqueous humor. Keratomycosis was the aetiology for half of all exogenous mould cases in a series from Florida, and eye surgery and trauma each accounted for 25% of cases. Many cases of keratomycosis are associated with contact lens wear. Fusarium is the most common of endophthalmitis resulting cause from keratomycosis in many series. Some of these cases reflect the international outbreak of Fusarium keratitis that occurred in 2004-2006, related to one brand of contact lens cleaning solution. In this outbreak, 6% of developed endophthalmitis.¹⁷⁻ keratitis cases ²⁰Jambulingam, Malathi et al, in a previous study, assessed the incidence of culture-proven postoperative endophthalmitis and probable sources of infection.Data of analysis showed that 98 (0.042%) out of 2,31,259 patients who underwent intra-ocular surgery developed infectious endophthalmitis. Among these, 70 (0.053%) occurred after cataract, 10 (0.5%) after penetrating keratoplasty (PK) and 18 (0.018%) following other types of intra-ocular surgeries. The predominant infectious agents isolated were bacteria (89.7%), with equal proportions of Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria.²¹Bhoomibunchoo C et al characterized the causative pathogens and the visual outcomes among patients with endophthalmitis at a large referral center in northeastern Thailand. All cases of infectious endophthalmitis treated between 1983 and 2007 were reviewed retrospectively.A total of 420 cases of endophthalmitis were reviewed: 181 cases (43.1%) had ocular trauma before the infection; (32.2%) developed endophthalmitis after 135 intraocular surgery; and, 122 (29.1%) had a positive culture. Bacteria were isolated in 114 cases (93.4%) and fungi were noted in eight (6.6%). The common causative bacterium was Staphylococcus epidermidis. Combined vitrectomy and intraocular antibiotics were performed in 189 cases (45.0%), whereas 69 cases (16.4%) were treated with intraocular antibiotics alone.Most of the reviewed cases were associated with trauma and intraocular surgery.²²

CONCLUSION

From the above results, the authors concluded that postoperative infectious endophthalmitis should always be in the differential of recurrent inflammation in a previously operated eye.

REFERENCES

- 1. Dickey JB, Thompson KD, Jay WM. Anterior chamber aspirate cultures after uncomplicated cataract surgery. Am J Ophthalmol. 1991;112:278–282.
- Menikoff JA, Speaker MG, Marmor M, Raskin EM. A case-control study of risk factors for postoperative endophthalmitis. Ophthalmology. 1991;98:1761–1768.
- 3. Endophthalmitis Vitrectomy Study Group. Results of the Endophthalmitis Vitrectomy Study: a randomized trial of immediate vitrectomy and of intravenous antibiotics for the treatment of postoperative bacterial endophthalmitis. Arch Ophthalmol. 1995;113:1479– 1496.
- 4. Taban M, Behrens A, Newcomb RL, et al. Acute endophthalmitis following cataract surgery: a systematic review of the literature. Arch Ophthalmol. 2005;123:613–620.
- Cutler Peck CM, Brubaker J, Clouser S, Danford C, Edelhauser HE, Mamalis N. Toxic anterior segment syndrome: common causes. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2010;36:1073–1080.
- Chiquet C, Cornut PL, Benito Y, et al. Eubacterial PCR for bacterial detection and identification in 100 acute postcataract surgery endophthalmitis. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2008;49:1971–1978.
- Melo GB, Bispo PJ, Campos Pignatari AC, Höfling-Lima AL. Real-time polymerase chain reaction test to discriminate between contamination and intraocular infection after cataract surgery. J Cataract Refract Surg. 2011;37:1244–1250.
- Simunovic MP, Rush RB, Hunyor AP, Chang AA. Endophthalmitis following intravitreal injection versus endophthalmitis following cataract surgery: clinical features, causative organisms and post-treatment outcomes. Br J Ophthalmol. 2012;96:862–866.
- 9. Lyall DA, Tey A, Foot B, et al. Post-intravitreal anti-VEGF endophthalmitis in the United Kingdom: incidence, features, risk factors, and outcomes. Eye (Lond) 2012;26:1517–1526.

- Day S, Acquah K, Mruthyunjaya P, Grossman DS, Lee PP, Sloan FA. Ocular complications after anti-vascular endothelial growth factor therapy in Medicare patients with age-related macular degeneration. Retina. 2011;31:2032–2036.
- 11. Debry PW, Perkins TW, Heatley G, et al. Incidence of late-onset bleb-related complications following trabeculectomy with mitomycin. Arch Ophthalmol. 2002;120:297–300.
- Miller JJ, Scott IU, Flynn HW, Jr, et al. Endophthalmitis caused by Bacillus species. Am J Ophthalmol. 2008;145:883–888.
- 13. Hatch WV, Cernat G, Wong D, Devenyi R, Bell CM. Risk factors for acute endophthalmitis after cataract surgery: a population-based study. Ophthalmology. 2009;116(3):425–430.
- 14. ESCRS Endophthalmitis Study Group. Prophylaxis of postoperative endophthalmitis following cataract surgery: results of the ESCRS multicenter study and identification of risk factors. Journal of Cataract and Refractive Surgery. 2007;33(6):978–988.
- 15. Lemley CA, Han DP. Endophthalmitis: a review of current evaluation and management. Retina. 2007;27(6):662–680.
- 16. Taban M, Behrens A, Newcomb RL, et al. Acute endophthalmitis following cataract surgery: a systematic review of the literature. Archives of Ophthalmology. 2005;123(5):613–620.
- 17. Wycoff CC, Flynn HW, Jr, Miller D, et al. Exogenous fungal endophthalmitis: microbiology and clinical outcomes. Ophthalmology. 2008;115:1501–1507.
- 18. Epstein AB. In the aftermath of the Fusarium keratitis outbreak: what have we learned? Clin Ophthalmol. 2007;1:355–366.
- Rosenberg KD, Flynn HW, Jr, Alfonso EC, Miller D. Fusarium endophthalmitis following keratitis associated with contact lenses. Ophthalmic Surg Lasers Imaging. 2006;37:310.
- 20. Sponsel WE, Graybill JR, Nevarez HL, Dang D. Ocular and systemic posaconazole (SCH-56592) treatment of invasive Fusarium solani keratitis and endophthalmitis. Br J Ophthalmol. 2002;86:829–830.
- 21. Jambulingam, Malathi; Parameswaran, Suresh Kumar; Lysa, Sagar1; Selvaraj, Margarita; Madhavan, Hajib N. A study on the incidence, microbiological analysis and investigations on the source of infection of postoperative infectious endophthalmitis in a tertiary care ophthalmic hospital: An 8-year study. Indian Journal of Ophthalmology 58(4):p 297-302, Jul–Aug 2010.
- 22. Bhoomibunchoo C, Ratanapakorn T, Sinawat S, Sanguansak T, Moontawee K, Yospaiboon Y. Infectious endophthalmitis: review of 420 cases. Clin Ophthalmol. 2013;7:247-52.