Journal of Advanced Medical and Dental Sciences Research

@Society of Scientific Research and Studies ***NLM ID:*** *101716117*

Journal home page: www.jamdsr.com doi: 10.21276/jamdsr Index Copernicus value = 85.10

(e) ISSN Online: 2321-9599; (p) ISSN Print: 2348-6805

Original Research

Effect of long term depression and anxiety on mental health of a person: An original research

Dr. Shalini Pandey[1], Dr. Ramesh Chaubey[2], Dr. Akant Pandey[3]

1. MBBS DPM, Consultant Neuropsychiatrist, Goodwill Hospital, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh, India
2. MBBS MD, Consultant Neuropsychiatrist, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh, India
3. Consultant Physician, Goodwill hospital, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT:

Introduction: The significant level of work related stress and burnout among individuals can prompt behavioral health conditions and mental dismalness, including a sleeping disorder, anxiety, depression, and substance use. However, the long term effect of depression and anxiety is still unclear. **Aims**: To evaluate the effect of long term depression and anxiety on mental health of a person. **Methodology**: Group A (N=100) (subjects with depression and anxiety for more than 2 years) and Group B Control (N=100) (depression and anxiety for less than 6 months) were selected from the OPD at the psychiatry. The two groups were matched for basic socio-demographic variables like gender, age and economic status to avoid any bias. The primary objective in this study included anxiety, depression. All of the study subjects were followed for one year to determine the risks associated with anxiety & depression. **Results**: There was no significant difference in the Socio-demographic variables. There was a significant effect of the depression and anxiety on the people with age, time and the tenure of the job. **Conclusion**: Our findings suggest that people with long term depression have significant effect on their mental health and hence the proper medical intervention is advised.

Received: October 24, 2020 Accepted: November 28, 2020

Corresponding Author: Dr. Shalini Pandey, MBBS DPM, Consultant Neuropsychiatrist, Goodwill Hospital, Ghazipur, Uttar Pradesh, India.

This article may be cited as: Pandey S, Chaubey R, Pandey A. Effect of long term depression and anxiety on mental health of a person: An original research. J Adv Med Dent Scie Res 2020;8(12):183-187.

INTRODUCTION

The significant level of work related stress and burnout among individuals can prompt behavioral health conditions and mental dismalness, including a sleeping disorder, anxiety, depression, and substance use.[1] In each nation, the working conditions have gotten depleting and profoundly distressing as of late because of heavy workloads, expanded working hours and elevated levels of time-related stress, a lack of control over work, and tense office connections. Thusly, expanding numbers individuals feel baffled and wore out in their positions, and related behavioral health problems have drawn public consideration. For instance, a new report revealed that the examples of intermittent, incessant, and every day benzodiazepine (BZD) utilize showed an expanding trend.[2] Distress and stress-related issues among people are transforming into an overall general medical problem. Literature supports that people have higher dangers of anxiety, depression that require clinical treatment.[3] However, studies show that many appear to be resistant to seeking care for their psychological or behavioral health problems due to concerns about confidentiality and stigma.[4] There have just been limited studies up to this point on the drawn out impacts of the depression and anxiety among Indians.

AIMS

To assess the impact of long term depression and anxiety on psychological wellness of a person. Our

objective was to analyze the speculation that those with long term depression and anxiety subjects may have higher dangers of these mental issues than those with depression and anxiety for under a half year.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

We did a hospital based prospective observational examination at the Department of psychiatry among 100 patients attending OPD. The investigation was accomplished for a time of one year from April 2019 to October 2020 after ethical clearance. The controls were characterized as those with depression and anxiety for under a half year. The primary objective in this investigation included anxiety, depression. All the subjects were followed for one year to decide the dangers of anxiety, depression, and a sleeping disorder during that period.

Anxiety

Members reacted to seven special explanations that questioned the recurrence of anxiety-related side effects as experienced in the previous fourteen days. Reaction choices were relegated a point-value; not at all = 0, several days = 1, over half the days = 2, nearly every day = 3. The reaction alternative point-values for every one of the seven novel anxiety-related side effects were added for a person's complete score. The conceivable GAD-7 score range was 0 to 21 with the accompanying cut focuses and seriousness characterization: 0–4 = none/negligible, 5 to 9 =mild, 10 to 14 = moderate, and 15 to 21 = extreme anxiety.5

Depression

Self-announced side effects of depression were surveyed utilizing the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9), a 9 item questionnaire that has been utilized to assess the commonness of depression in populace based studies.5 The PHQ-9 has great interior legitimacy, affectability, and explicitness (Cronbach's α = 0.92).5 Participants reacted to the nine articulations that questioned the recurrence of burdensome indications experienced in the previous fourteen days. Reaction alternatives were relegated a point-value; not in any way = 0, a few days = 1, over a large portion of the days = 2, essentially consistently = 3. The reaction alternative point-values for every one of the nine interesting depression-related side effects were added for a person's all out PHQ-9 score. The conceivable score range was 0–27 with the accompanying cut focuses and seriousness arrangement: 0–4 = none/negligible, 5–9 mild, 10–14 = moderate, 15–21 = modestly extreme and 21–27 = serious depression.5

Statistical analyses

Descriptive analyses were performed to ascertain the frequency and percent of categorical variables and means and standard deviations of persistent factors. The GAD-7 and PHQ-9 scores were ordered with set up cutoff points. Pre-decided cutoff focuses for the GAD-7 were utilized to arrange subjects into those with anxiety indications (GAD-7 score ≥ 5) and those without anxiety manifestations (GAD-7 score ≤ 4). Pre-decided cutoff focuses for the PHQ-9 were utilized to group subjects into those with burdensome side effects (PHQ-9 score ≥ 5) and those without burdensome manifestations (PHQ-9 score ≤ 4). The general predominance for these conditions was assessed and summed up along with 95% certainty limits. Descriptive analyses were performed to calculate the frequency and percents of categorical variables, and means and standard deviations were calculated to describe continuous variables including individual GAD-7 scores, PHQ-9 scores. Anxiety and depression were dichotomized (yes/no) using the score of 5 or greater on the GAD-7 and PHQ-9 to indicate a positive case. Standardized Cronbach's α coefficients were calculated to examine the internal consistency of the GAD-7, PHQ-9, and seven stress domains.

RESULTS

Sample characteristics

A sum of 100 subjects and 100 coordinated controls were enlisted. There were no critical contrasts in age, gender, or the predominance of DM and CAD between the two groups, in spite of the fact that the pervasiveness of HTN and hyperlipidemia, just as the mean CCI score, were higher among the subjects (Table 1).

Subjects versus control

Subsequent to controlling for clinical comorbidities including DM, HTN, CAD, and hyperlipidemia, just as for CCI score, the changed hazards ratio (HR) for anxiety among all subjects was 0.91 (Table 2). Subjects in the< = 29 years of age had a fundamentally higher HR for anxiety (1.17) than the control, while subjects in the 30–44 years of age group and > = 45 years of age had lower HRs for anxiety (0.85 and 0.63, separately) than the control. Subjects with work residencies of< = 3 and > = 9 years additionally had lower HRs for anxiety (0.80 and 0.93, individually) than controls. Subsequent to controlling for clinical comorbidities including DM, HTN, CAD, and hyperlipidemia, just as for CCI score, the changed HR for depression among all subjects was 0.59 (Table 2). Subjects in each of the three age groups (i.e., < = 29 years of age, 30–44 years of age, and > = 45 years of age) had altogether lower HRs for depression (0.66, 0.57, and 0.46, individually) than the control. Subjects in every one of the three job tenure groups (i.e.,< = 3, 4–8, and > = 9 years) moreover had altogether lower HRs for depression(0.54, 0.66, and

0.57, individually).

Correlation among subjects

Contrasted with the < = 29 years of age group, subjects in the 30–44 years of age bunch had essentially higher HRs for anxiety, depression (1.13, 1.17, individually) (Table 3), while subjects in the > = 45 years of age bunch had higher HRs for anxiety (1.39). Contrasted with the subjects with work tenures of< = 3 years, subjects with work tenure of 4–8 years had fundamentally higher HRs for anxiety (1.09) (Table 3), while subjects with work residencies of> = 9 years had altogether higher HRs for anxiety (1.13).

Table 1. Comparison of demographic and clinical characteristics of subjects and controls

	General population (%) (N =100)	**Subjects (%) (N = 100)**	**p-value**
Age group, n(%)			
< = 29	45	45	1.0000
30–44	46	46	
> = 45	09	09	
Gender, n(%)			
Male	100	100	1.0000
Comorbidities, n(%)			
DM	05	04	0.8546
HTN	03	02	< .0001
CAD	10	9	0.2642
Hyperlipidemia	13	17	< .0001
Job tenure (years), n(%)			
< = 3	40	42	
4–8	27	39	
> = 9	23	19	
Outcome			
Anxiety, n(%)	10	10	0.6789
Time to Anxiety(months), median (IQR)	14.70(4.84–24.38)	14.05(4.61–23.95)	0.1691
Depression, n(%)	47	32	< .0001
Time to Depression(months), median (IQR)	12.70(3.39–22.83)	12.86(2.99–22.93)	0.8606

Table 2. Overall and stratified hazard ratios of anxiety, depression among subjects (vs. controls).

	Anxiety	**Depression**
	Adjusted HR (95% C.I.)	**Adjusted HR (95% C.I.)**
Overall	0.91(0.88–0.95)** *	0.59(0.55–0.63)** *
Age group		
< = 29	1.17(1.10–1.24)** *	0.66(0.59–0.73)** *
30–44	0.85(0.81–0.90)** *	0.57(0.52–0.63)** *
> = 45	0.63(0.57–0.69)** *	0.46(0.37–0.57)** *
Job tenure		
< = 3	0.80(0.76–0.84)** *	0.54(0.49–0.59)** *
4–8	1.03(0.98–1.09)	0.66(0.60–0.72)** *
> = 9	0.93(0.87–0.99)**	0.57(0.50–0.65)** *

p<0.05., * *p<0.0001

Table 3. Comparison of hazard ratios of anxiety, depression among subjects.

	Anxiety	Depression
	Adjusted HR (95% C.I.)	**Adjusted HR (95% C.I.)**
Age group		
< = 29	1.00(ref.)	1.00(ref.)
30–44	1.13(1.05–1.21)*	1.17(1.03–1.34)*
> = 45	1.39(1.25–1.55)**	1.11(0.89–1.38)
Job tenure		
< = 3	1.00(ref.)	1.00(ref.)
4–8	1.09(1.02–1.17)*	1.11(0.97–1.27)
> = 9	1.13(1.04–1.23)*	1.00(0.85–1.18)

*p<0.05., * *p<0.0001

DISCUSSION

Anxiety, depression, and sleep deprivation among subjects

This examination expected to investigate the genuine dangers for stress related mental issues in subjects. For the most part, in light of risk proportions of 1 year information, the consequences of the current investigation recommend that subjects have lower perils of treated anxiety and depression than the control. Because of the plentiful proof of higher prevalences of depression and anxiety among subjects than among the controls, the obvious undertreatment of these issues among the subjects in the current investigation might be because of resistance or other barriers to help-seeking.

The impact of age and working characteristics

The dangers of these mental issues for subjects appear to fluctuate among different demographic subgroups. Our information appeared, for instance, that middle aged and late middle aged subjects had fundamentally higher HRs for anxiety, depression, when contrasted with more young subjects, despite the fact that the middle aged and late middle aged subjects actually had essentially lower chances for anxiety, depression than everybody.

This finding was in accordance with those of different studies, age intensified incidences of anxiety as well as abnormal parasympathetic activity and that sleep problems due to shift work disorder were associated with increased age.6

Under treatment of anxiety and depression among subjects

Despite the fact that previous investigations propose that subjects might be more vulnerable against stress related mental issues, our examination found that subjects don't appear to look for clinical assistance for specific kinds of issues, particularly for anxiety and depression. The reasons why subjects are reluctant for help for mental or social medical conditions might be expected, to some extent, to the subjects' own perspectives with respect to such issues. All the more explicitly, the obstructions have all the earmarks of being that looking for help for psychological health issues could be stigmatizing as far as what friends and managers may consider them, which could, thusly, cause troublesome ramifications for their vocation development.7 Moreover, it merits referencing that such negative perspectives toward medical help may emerge as early as when the subjects are students.8 Generally, there is by all accounts inadequate notice given to emotional well-being issues and hesitation to look for help among student subjects because of worries about confidentiality or for other reasons. Nonetheless, there are then again other potential clarifications for the lower level of treated anxiety and depression than expectant evaluations from network information, for example, social factor. A few examinations have uncovered that Asian populaces will in general react to greater stress with somatic symptoms rather than depressive or affective symptoms as in Western populations.9 Some authors contended that Asian and Western contrasts in emotional side effects and somatization reflect errors in help-seeking behavior rather than actual prevalence differences, because somatic complaints seen as more probably than affective symptoms to obtain treatment in developing countries.10 In other word, reporting rates between affective and somatization symptoms in community and clinic-referred individuals who are seeking help for mental health problems were more prominent in Asian nations.

Study limitations

The current investigation has a few constraints. To begin with, our study comprised of hospital subjects only. Thus, discretion is needed when generalizing our findings to other types of subjects. Second, the

anxiety, depression diagnoses used in the study were from diagnoses made by structured interview.

CONCLUSION

The dangers of these mental issues in help-seeking subjects could be impacted by age, gender, work level, and job tenure. Our investigation found that despite the fact that subjects in specific subgroups might be more defenseless against these stress related mental problems, they don't appear to look for clinical assistance for some of them. At the end of the day, there might be under-treatment in certain subgroups of subjects with various segment and working qualities.

Findings from this research suggest that vital approaches may be needed to diminish the stigmatizing attitudes toward mental disorders among subjects, and to improve their prevention, identification, and treatment in the healthcare settings in which subjects practice.

REFERENCES

1. Roberts RK, Grubb PL. The consequences of nursing stress and need for integrated solutions. Rehabil Nurs. 2014; 39(2):62–9. Epub 2013/05/23. https://doi.org/10.1002/rnj.97 PMID: 23696492; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC4664060.
2. Sang E, Liao YM, Miao NF, Chou KR, Chung MH. Patterns and correlates of benzodiazepine use in subjects: A nationwide, population-based study. Int J Ment Health Nurs. 2017. Epub 2017/04/05. https:// doi.org/10.1111/inm.12334 PMID: 28374978
3. Cares A, Pace E, Denious J, Crane LA. Substance use and mental illness among subjects: workplace warning signs and barriers to seeking assistance. Subst Abus. 2015; 36(1):59–66. Epub 2014/07/11. https://doi.org/10.1080/08897077.2014.933725 PMID: 25010597.
4. Johnson J, Louch G, Dunning A, Johnson O, Grange A, Reynolds C, et al. Burnout mediates the associ- ation between depression and patient safety perceptions: a cross-sectional study in hospital subjects. J Adv Nurs. 2017. Epub 2017/01/11. https://doi.org/10.1111/jan.13251 PMID: 28072469
5. Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R. L., & Williams, J. B. (2001). The PHQ-9: Validity of a brief depression severity measure. The Journal of General Internal Medicine, 16(9), 606–613.
6. Shen SH, Yen M, Yang SL, Lee CY. Insomnia, anxiety, and heart rate variability among subjects working different shift systems in Taiwan. Nurs Health Sci. 2016. Epub 2016/01/13. https://doi.org/10.1111/nhs. 12257 PMID: 26755351.
7. Hernandez SH, Morgan BJ, Parshall MB. Resilience, Stress, Stigma, and Barriers to Mental Healthcare in U.S. Air Force Nursing Personnel. Nurs Res. 2016; 65(6):481–6. Epub 2016/11/02. https://doi.org/ 10.1097/NNR.0000000000000182 PMID: 27529539; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC5091011
8. Halter MJ. Stigma and help seeking related to depression: a study of nursing students. J Psychosoc Nurs Ment Health Serv. 2004; 42(2):42–51. Epub 2004/02/26. PMID: 14982108.
9. Parker G, Gladstone G, Chee KT. Depression in the planet's largest ethnic group: the Chinese. Am J Psychiatry. 2001; 158(6):857–64. Epub 2001/06/01. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.158.6.857 PMID: 11384889.
10. Weiss B, Tram JM, Weisz JR, Rescorla L, Achenbach TM. Differential symptom expression and somatization in Thai versus U.S. children. J Consult Clin Psychol. 2009; 77(5):987–92. Epub 2009/10/07. 2009-17643-019 [pii] https://doi.org/10.1037/a0016779 PMID: 19803578; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC3798036.